Cooke (#133¹) entered on December 29, 2009, recommending granting Defendant English's Motion to Dismiss (#101), filed on July 30, 2009; granting Defendant Curtis's Motion to Dismiss (#103), filed August 5, 2009; granting Defendant Gillespie's Motion to Dismiss (#113), filed August 21, 2009, and denying as moot Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#108) filed on August 7, 2009, and Plaintiff's Request to Treat Motion for Summary Judgment as a Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (#119) filed on August 27, 2009. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate's Report and Recommendation (#134) on January 11, 2010; Defendant English filed her Response to Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#135) on January 28, 2010; Defendant Gillespie filed his Opposition to Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendations (#136) on

21

22

23

24

25

26

¹Refers to court's docket number.

January 28, 2010, and Plaintiff filed on February 4, 2010, his reply to Defendant English's response 1 to Plaintiff's objections to the Report and Recommendation (#137) and Judicial Notice Regarding 2 Recent Full Classification at NDOC-ESP Max (#139) on March 1, 2010, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 3 4 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the 5 District of Nevada. 6 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections 7 of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record 8 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate 9 Judge's Report and Recommendation (#133) entered on December 29, 2009, should be adopted and 10 accepted. 11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#133) entered on December 29, 2009, is adopted and accepted, and Defendant English's Motion to 12 13 Dismiss (#101), Defendant Curtis's Motion to Dismiss (#103), and Defendant Gillespie's Motion to 14 Dismiss (#113) are GRANTED. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#108) and Plaintiff's request to treat the motion as a cross motion for summary judgment (#119) are DENIED as 16 17 moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 DATED this 30th day of March, 2010. 20 Fldihe 21 22 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26

2